

Nordic CCM SH Meeting – meeting minutes

August 31, 2023, 9.00-11.00 (Webinar)

Participants	
Total participation: 54, including CCM project members.	

Text in non-italics are comments, statements, questions or claims from the stakeholder(s).
Text in italics are answers or comments provided by the Nordic CCM project.

1. Welcome, EPR overview: progress, timeline, evaluation report (9.00-9.05)
2. Elaboration of data on JAO website (09.05-10.00)
<p>Question: are you ensuring the disclose of fully de-anonymised information on Swedish CNECs or seeking a solution with equivalent effect before go-live? CCM project: <i>no, the TSOs expect to continue publishing the anonymised SE CNECs during the EPR.</i></p> <p>Question: Why is RAM zero making sense - wouldn't just the contingency apply for other CNECs?? CCM project: <i>CNEs with status being 'offline' have their RAM values set to 0.</i></p> <p>Question: what are the 'Significant' flag and 'Non-redundant' flag? CCM project: <i>Please refer to the EPR handbook page 25. https://nordic-rcc.net/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/CCM-EPR-handbook_V3.pdf</i></p> <p>Redundant <i>"FALSE": The CNEC is constraining the flow-based domain. "TRUE": the CNEC represents a redundant constraint.</i></p> <p>Significant <i>"FALSE": The CNEC is not significant and will therefore not constrain the domain. "TRUE": the CNEC is significant and is therefore considered in the domain.</i></p> <p><i>Note: when the flag is named 'non-redundant', the meaning of TRUE and FALSE becomes opposite. When a CNEC is flagged as 'non-redundant' and 'significant', the CNEC is considered by the SDAC algorithm, which may constrain the market coupling.</i></p> <p>Question: what is a border CNEC? CCM project: <i>Please refer to the EPR handbook page 25. https://nordic-rcc.net/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/CCM-EPR-handbook_V3.pdf</i></p> <p>Border <i>"TRUE": The CNEC is a border CNE going between bidding zones. A border CNE has a $F_{max} = 9999$ and will never constrain the domain "FALSE": A regular CNEC in the FB domain.</i></p> <p>SH comment: <i>data is not available for all CNECs: e.g. BZ from and to, substation from to are missing. CCM project: the missing bidding zone info (of the Norwegian CNECs) have been added recently.</i></p>
3. Elaboration Data on NRCC website (10.00-10.55)
<p>Question: Are NTC values calculated from FBMC constraints (in the ATCE method)? CCM project: <i>Yes. In general, the NTCs are extracted within the DA FB domain, while including/guaranteeing the DA FB MC outcome.</i></p> <p>Question: have you updated the questions and answers according to the NRA approval? How can TSOs and NRCC ensure the transparent publication in terms of raised questions (and TSO/NRCC answers) ? CCM project: <i>to the first question, the goal is to publish the TSO feedback on the NRCC webpage. The TSOs are clarifying with the NRAs. To the second question, the TSOs are publishing the SH event slides and minutes, including the questions answered during the SH event. All other questions and answers are published in the Q&A section on the NRCC website. Note after the SH event as of 11/09: all questions and answers have been uploaded to the Q&A section on the NRCC website.</i></p> <p>Question: When do you plan to calculate and publish prices for the SYS, i.e. the Nordic system price? NordPool: <i>At Nord Pool we are working on it. We have calculated many weeks but we are working on the elaboration of the report on the webpage.</i></p> <p>SH comment: <i>It would be good if you could provide an empirical answer to the lopsided results on the social economic welfare, not only theoretically discuss slopes of curves. We need to understand the drivers for these results. CCM project: we are working on it and will communicate to the SHs as soon as possible.</i></p>
4. AOB and closing remarks (10.55-11.00)
<p>All participants are thanked for their constructive inputs!</p> <p>The presentations have been uploaded on the Nordic RSC website: https://nordic-rcc.net/flow-based/documents-presentations/</p>